

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/085,312	02/28/2002	Mandar B. Sunthankar	RM534	7606	
23996	7590 12/20/2004	•	EXAMINER		
RICK MARTIN			MCDONALD, RO	MCDONALD, RODNEY GLENN	
PATENT LAW OFFICES OF RICK MARTIN, PC 416 COFFMAN STREET			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
LONGMONT, CO 80501			1753		
			DATE MAILED: 12/20/2004	1	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Office Action Summary		10/085,312	SUNTHANKAR ET AL.			
		Examiner	Art Unit			
		Rodney G. McDonald	1753			
Period for	The MAILING DATE of this communication app Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence addréss '			
A SHOP THE MA - Extensic after SIX - If the pe - If NO pe - Failure t Any repl	RTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL'ALLING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. In sof time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1: (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. It of reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply riod for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period vo reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute y received by the Office later than three months after the mailing patient term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim y within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C.§ 133).			
Status		•	•			
1)⊠ R	esponsive to communication(s) filed on 24 O	ctober 2004.				
2a)□ TI	This action is FINAL. 2b)⊠ This action is non-final.					
) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposition	of Claims					
4a 5)⊠ C 6)⊠ C 7)□ C	laim(s) <u>1,3-7,9-16,18-28 and 30-39</u> is/are per striction and/o	vn from consideration. are allowed.				
Application	Papers					
10)□ Th Ap	te specification is objected to by the Examine the drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accomplicant may not request that any objection to the explacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct	epted or b)⊡ objected to by the E drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See	37 CFR 1.85(a).			
11)□ Th	e oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	aminer. Note the attached Office	Action or form PTO-152.			
Priority und	der 35 U.S.C. § 119					
a)[1. 2. 3.	Certified copies of the priority documents Certified copies of the priority documents	s have been received. s have been received in Application ity documents have been receive u (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	on No d in this National Stage			
Attachment(s)						
2) Notice of 3) Informati	f References Cited (PTO-892) f Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) ion Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) o(s)/Mail Date	4) lnterview Summary (Paper No(s)/Mail Dal 5) Notice of Informal Pal 6) Other:				

Art Unit: 1753

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103(c) and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(e), (f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).

Claims 24, 38 and 39 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Vergason (U.S. Pat. 5,037,522) in view of Sablev et al. (U.S. Pat. 3,793,179) and Gorokhovsky (U.S. Pat. 5,380,421).

Vergason teach a "toggle switch" 60 (The toggle switch feature of the claims) in Figs. 1 and 2. The "toggle switch" comprises a cathode 16 (The cathode feature of the claims) having a plurality of contacts in the form of terminals 64 and 68 (The plurality of contact nodes feature of the claim). The terminals are connected to negative poles through switching circuit 60 from a negative DC voltage output. The

Art Unit: 1753

switch circuit acts as a "switch" to connect the negative pole of the DC voltage to each terminal 64 and 68 in a time sharing mode. (The switch feature of the claims) In Fig. 2 for example a first sensor 28 senses the approach of the arc spot, the first flip flop 80 will be set and cause the power switch 90 to connect the negative side of the power supply 58 to the second end 27 of the cathode 16, while a short time period later as determined by the gate delay of the buffers 84, the second flip-flop 86 will be reset and cause the power switch 92 to disconnect the negative side of the power supply 58 from the first end 26 of the cathode 16. Similarly, a signal from the second sensor 29 causes the power switch 92 to connect the first end 26 of the cathode 16 to the power supply 58, and then causes the power switch 90 to disconnect the second end 27 of the cathode 16 from the power supply 58. (The time sharing frequency controller feature of the claims) The overlap period caused by the gate delay of the buffers 84 and 88. during which both ends of the cathode 16 are connected to the arc power supply 58, insures that there will be no interruption of the connection to the cathode 16 which could cause extinguishment of the arc. (The time sharing mode feature of the claims) An anode 14 is present. (The anode feature of the claims) (Column 3 line 57; Column 4 lines 6068; Column 5 lines 1-50)

The differences between Vergason and the present claims are that the anode member is not discussed with the gap and the electrical insulator material is not discussed.

Sablev et al. teach in Fig. 4 a cathode 77 (This is understood to be the target material for depositing from) attached to a cooling bed 78 (This is understood to be

Art Unit: 1753

the cathode) for arc discharge evaporation. The whole of the non-evaporation surface is closed with a metal shield 82. (This is understood to be an anode with respect to the cathode since it is a floating metal shield) The shield is provided with a slot 83 through which a trigger electrode 84 passes towards the surface of the cathode 77. (Fig. 4; Column 13 lines 32-38; Column 13 lines 60-63) A gap exists on the backside of the cathode to the metal shield 83. An arc moves along the surface of the cathode. (See Figure 4)

The motivation for utilizing an anode member with gap is that it allows for having arc burning take place mainly on the cathode surface. (Column 14 lines 6-7)

Gorokhovsky teach an auxiliary anode 7. (Column 4 lines 59-60) From Figure 1 the anode 7 has a gap between the backside of the cathode and the anode 7. (Figure 1) An electrical insulator is shown in the gap and the gap is partially filled with the electrical insulator. (See Figure 1; insulator is shown as cross hatched area)

The motivation for utilizing an anode around the cathode is that it allows for producing high quality coatings. (Column 2 lines 18-20)

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have modified Vergason by utilizing an anode member with gap as taught by Sablev et al. and Gorokhovsky because it allows for having arc burning take place mainly on the cathode surface.

Claim 25 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Sablev et al. (U.S. Pat. 3,793,179) in view of Gorokhovsky (U.S. Pat. 5,380,421).

Art Unit: 1753

Sablev et al. is discussed above and all is as applies above. (See Sablev et al. discussed above)

The difference between Sablev et al. and the present claims is that the metal shield being anode is not discussed. (It should be noted that Sablev et al.'s metal shield is understood to constitute an anode as described above for the reasons above.

However Gorokhovsky has been cited to bolster that reasoning.)

Gorokhovsky is discussed above and teach that an anode surrounding the cathode can be utilized. (See Gorokhovsky discussed above) The anode of Gorokhovsky is shown in Figure 1 as auxiliary anode 7. This auxiliary anode 7 bears the same structure and placement as that of Sablev et al.'s anode. (See Figure 1)

The motivation for utilizing an auxiliary anode is that it allows for production of high quality coatings. (See Gorokhovsky discussed above)

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have modified Sablev et al. by utilizing the metal shield of Sablev et al. as an anode as taught by Gorokhovsky because it allows for the production of high quality coatings.

Allowable Subject Matter

Claims 1, 3-7, 9-16, 18-23, 26-28 and 30-37 are allowed.

The following is a statement of reasons for the indication of allowable subject matter:

Art Unit: 1753

Claims 1 and 3-6 are indicated as being allowable over the prior art of record because the prior art of record does not teach the claimed subject matter including the constant contact mechanism with a rotating switch pole.

Claim 7 is indicated as being allowable over the prior art of record because the prior art of record does not teach the claimed subject matter including the current switching means further comprising a variable speed motor rotating a disc means having a conductive segment and a nonconductive segment, wherein the conductive segment alternately electrically contacts a contact node means.

Claims 9-12 are indicated as being allowable over the prior art of record because the prior art of record does not teach the claimed subject matter including wherein the rotating cathode current source contacts each fixed electrical contact hub to provide a momentary overlap of current between a pair of fixed electrical contact hubs before directing all the current to the next member of the pair of fixed electrical contact hubs, thereby causing the arc to be steered by the movement of current amongst the plurality of electrical contacts in a continuous manner without interruption.

Claim 13 is indicated as being allowable over the prior art of record because the prior art of record does not teach the claimed subject matter including wherein the rotating cathode current source contacts each fixed electrical contact hub to provide a momentary overlap of current between a pair of fixed electrical contact hubs before directing all the current to the next member of the pair of fixed electrical contact hubs, thereby causing the arc to be steered by the movement of current amongst the plurality of electrical contacts in a continuous manner without interruption; wherein the rotating

Art Unit: 1753

cathode current source further comprises a disc having a peripheral contact edge, said edge having a conductive and a nonconductive segment; wherein the rotating cathode current source further comprises a central shaft supplying the cathode current to the conductive segment; and wherein a variable speed motor rotates the rotating cathode current source.

Claim 14 is indicated as being allowable over the prior art of record because the prior art of record does not teach the claimed subject matter including wherein the reciprocating cathode current source sequentially contacts each fixed contact hub to provide a momentary overlap of current before directing all the current to the next fixed contact hub to be contacted, thereby causing the arc to be steered by the movement of current between the plurality of cathode electrical contacts in a continuous manner without interruption.

Claim 15 is indicated as being allowable over the prior art of record because the prior art of record does not teach the claimed subject matter including a plurality of cathodes each having a plurality of electrical contacts; a switching cathode current source to provide an arc simultaneously to each cathode; said switching cathode current source having a separate switch for each cathode; and wherein the switching cathode current source contacts a first and a second electrical contact on each cathode to provide a momentary overlap of current between them before directing all the current to the next contact in line to be contacted, thereby causing several arcs each to be steered by the movement of current between the plurality of cathode electrical contacts on each cathode in a continuous manner without interruption.

Art Unit: 1753

Claims 16 and 37 are indicated as being allowable over the prior art of record because the prior art of record does not teach the claimed subject matter including the cathode body being positioned within said insulator member and said cathodic arc target being positioned in electrical contact with said cathode body, a gap between the cathode body and the insulator member, and a gap between the cathodic arc target and the insulator member; said insulator member cross-section having a "C" shape, with a pair of ends aligned with a plane of the target erosion surface; said cathode body having a back side; and a magnet mounted to the back side so as to face the insulator member.

Claim 18 is indicated as being allowable over the prior art of record because the prior art of record does not teach the claimed subject matter including each cathode having a plurality of electrical contacts; a cathode current controller; said cathode current controller having a current input, a plurality of current outputs, a logic module to control desired combinations of inputs to outputs; and wherein the cathode current controller for each cathode sequentially contacts a first and a second electrical contact to provide a momentary overlap of current between them before directing all the current to the second electrical contact, then repeating the process to the next in line to be contacted, thereby causing an arc on each cathode to be steered by the movement of the current between the plurality of cathode electrical contacts in a continuous manner without interruption.

Claim 19 is indicated as being allowable over the prior art of record because the prior art of record does not teach the claimed subject matter including the striker

Art Unit: 1753

assembly comprising an electrically insulating solid core having a conductive outer surface; the conductive outer surface having a physical contact with the target; a switch connected between the striker's conductive outer surface and a source of a different potential than the cathode; wherein momentary closure of the switch causes a current flow through the conductive outer surface, thereby depleting the conductive outer surface and creating a spark to initiate an arc to an anode; and wherein the target recoats the striker during a cathodic arc deposition process.

Claim 22 is indicated as being allowable over the prior art of record because the prior art of record does not teach the claimed subject matter including an arc to discharge continuously between the cathode and the anode; a target mounted to the cathode and having an erosion surface; and the erosion surface having a pattern of grooves, thereby causing a pattern of vapor flux to focus on a workpiece.

Claims 20, 21, 23, 26-28, 30-36 are indicated as being allowable over the prior art of record because the prior art of record does not teach the claimed subject matter including not utilizing an arc sensor for performing arc discharge.

Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments filed 10-12-04 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

In response to the argument that Sablev's metal shield is not an anode, it is argued that Sablev's metal shield is anode with respect to the cathode. To further bolster this argument Gorokhovsky has been cited showing an identical metal shield but Gorokhovsky refers to the metal shield as an "auxiliary anode". The primary anode is

Art Unit: 1753

item 8 in Gorokhovsky. This teaching is believed to support the Examiner's position that Sablev's metal shield functions as an anode. (See Sablev and Gorokhovsky discussed above)

This action will be made NON-Final based on the newly cited reference.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Rodney G. McDonald whose telephone number is 571-272-1340. The examiner can normally be reached on M- Th with Every other Friday off.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Nam X. Nguyen can be reached on 571-272-1342. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

> Rodney G. McDonald Primary Examiner

Art Unit 1753

RMDecember 14, 2004